

CIGRE US National Committee 2014 Grid of the Future Symposium

#### Initial Field Trials of Distributed Series Reactors and Implications for Future Applications

I GRANT Tennessee Valley Authority USA J SHULTZ Tennessee Valley Authority USA S OMRAN Virginia Tech USA J COUILLARD Smart Wire Grid Inc. USA F KREIKEBAUM

Smart Wire Grid Inc. USA

R BROADWATER Electrical Distribution Design (EDD) USA

**Presented by:** 

BRUCE ROGERS, Director, Technology Innovation, TVA CHIFONG THOMAS, Director, Transmission Planning and Strategy, SWG Inc.

## Managing Overload and Congestion

Transmission Lines are becoming more overloaded and congested as loads and generation move or increase

- Typical solutions
  - Switching (but this places increased burden on equipment)
  - Reconductoring
  - New Line Construction
- Other Lines are Underutilized
- Current Power Flow Methods
  - Expensive and/or need frequent operations
- Older Technologies such as air-core reactors or FACTS are:
  - Centralized
  - All or Nothing
  - Take up Substation Space



#### Background

- Rapidly deployable distributed power flow control for existing transmission lines
- Diverts current from the overloaded lines to underutilized ones
- Concept was first demonstrated in 2002-03 and has since been demonstrated in pilot installations on HV transmission lines.











### **Distributed Series Reactor**

- Injects pre-tuned inductance value to increase line impedance
- Self contained device, powered by induction from a transmission line conductor
- Two methods of control
- With secondary winding shorted injection is negligible



**Power Line** 





### **Communication and Control**

- Operate autonomously based on preset values (line current)
- Controlled remotely via Power Line Carrier, Cell phone
- DSR information display available in control center





### **Example Application in Meshed Grid**

#### 39 BUS SYSTEM

- Baseline MW: 1904 MW
- Increase in transfer capability of 638 MW (33.5%)
- Increase in line availability from 59% to 93%



Impact of DSRs on Line Utilization





#### Prototype DSR Installation at TVA





#### Installation Details



- Clamshell construction
- Two halves secured together with a torque wrench
- Approximately 10 min to install each DSR
- Devices run self diagnostics and can be remotely tested

- 21 mile segment of 161 kV line
- 99 DSRs installed on 17 spans
- 33 DSRs per phase





### **Pilot Test Results**

- Total Impedance Increase (33 DSRs / Phase @ 47 µH / DSR): .226 % (control limited by number of available devices and a test line that was longer than optimal for the demonstration)
- Devices performed as expected over 4-step range (see below)
- Devices successfully adjusted phase imbalance
- Single point failure of communication system identified for necessary design upgrade
- DSRs presently considered unsuitable for bundled conductor use

Time :	1:22 PM		1:28 PM	
	Pre Condit	ions	Post Condition	ons
т	457.9	amp	462.0	amp
м	471.1	amp	464.7	amp
В	457.0	amp	463.1	amp
SCADA (Middle)	483.0	amp	469.0	amp

Information: 28 out of 33 DSRs ON Middle Phase



- Success of pilot opens path to more critical applications
- Simplest application is reduction of maximum contingency load for postponement of line uprate
- Ability to quickly relocate DSRs reduces cost to individual projects
- Extreme case for portion of HV grid to have dynamically assigned line loading for selected goals, e.g. minimize system losses
- Future designs may provide capacitive injection to reduce reactive impedance
- Future designs with high speed controls may be low cost alternative to FACTS



# The IEEE 39 bus standard test system converted to a three phase system with 345kV lines





#### The 345kV Line Configuration

Structure Type: 3L11→Utility: Houston Lighting & Power Company



Reference: EPRI, Transmission Line Reference Book - 345kV and above



#### Line Impedance Models

Unbalanced: 
$$Z_{line} = \begin{bmatrix} z_{aa} & z_{ab} & z_{ac} \\ z_{ba} & z_{bb} & z_{bc} \\ z_{ca} & z_{cb} & z_{cc} \end{bmatrix}$$

Positive Sequence:
$$Z_{line} = \begin{bmatrix} Z^0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & Z^+ & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & Z^- \end{bmatrix}$$

Positive Sequence Z is derived from the Unbalanced Model Z using the symmetrical components transformation



#### **DSR** Design for Load Growth

■ Line5-6 ■ Line6-7 ■ Line13-14 ■ Total





#### Unbalanced vs. Positive Sequence Impedance Model





## DSR Design for Single Contingency: Unbalanced Impedance Model











## DSR Design vs. Line Reinforcement for Single Contingency and Load Growth: Economic Evaluation



- Determine the maximum MW supplied to load while handling all single contingencies
  - Case1: Three Lines Reinforced with No DSR
  - Case2: Three Lines Reinforced with DSR
- Economic assessment of both cases



### **Economic Evaluation Results**

- Case1: With Three Lines Reinforced
  - 125% loading is reached
- Case2: With Three Lines Reinforced and DSRs Deployed
  - 140% loading is reached and selected as a desired DSR Design due to its technical merits
    - Fewer number of DSRs deployed.
    - Least % change in lines impedance.



### Data for the Economic Study

• Max MW supplied at different % loading:

		Max MW	MW
Case	% Loading	supplied	increase
Base	100%	6309.4	
Case1	125%	7886.6	1577.2
Case2	140%	8833.1	946.5

• Total length of the reinforced lines = 95 miles.

<b>Reinforced Line</b>	Length (miles)	
Line2-3	37	
Line6-7	29	
Line15-16	29	

• Cost of 345 kV, single circuit = 1298 \$k /mile



### Line Reinforcement Cost

- Cost of 95 miles of line = 95 x 1298 k\$ = 123.31 \$M
- Cost for 1577.2 MW of load increase = 123.31 \$M
- Cost per MW of load increase for reinforcing lines =

123.31 \$M/1577.2 MW = 78,182 \$/MW



- For the selected DSR design, a loading of 140% is achieved using 1575 DSR modules.
- DSR worth in terms of transmission line value:
  - Cost of 946.5 MW of load increase = 946.5 MW x 78,182.8 \$/MW = 74 \$M
  - Thus the equivalent value of 1 DSR = 74 \$M/1575 DSRs = 46,984 \$/DSR



# Questions